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## PART II.

9> CIRCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC.
> CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
> (In thousands, except share data)

Cash and cash equivalents
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of
$\$ 2,499$ and $\$ 2,637$, respectively ................................................. Inventories
Prepaid expenses and other current assets
Deferred income taxes
Total Current Assets
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET
OTHER ASSETS:
Goodwill, net of accumulated amortization of $\$ 17,040$
Other assets
3 53
\$ $387,----$
=- $=$

| March 31, | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 2001 |
| (Unaudited) | ------------- |


| \$ 60,164 | \$ | 57,010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55,626 |  | 58,855 |
| 101,227 |  | 99,879 |
| 5,696 |  | 4,450 |
| 5,869 |  | 5,998 |
| 228,582 |  | 226,192 |
| 64,583 |  | 66,973 |
| 91,000 |  | 89,833 |
| 3,533 |  | 3,123 |
| \$ 387,698 | \$ | 386,121 |

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
CURRENT LIABILITIES:
Accounts payable
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities
Accrued compensation and benefits
\$
13, 6
12,365
5,853
1,782
19, 844
Income taxes payable ..............
Current portion of long-term debt
Total Current Liabilities
LONG-TERM DEBT, NET OF CURRENT PORTION
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES
OTHER NONCURRENT LIABILITIES
MINORITY INTEREST
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 29,000,000 shares authorized;
14,981,005 and 14,861,890 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2002
and December 31, 2001, respectively
Additional paid-in capital
Retained earnings
202, 095
Accumulated other comprehensive loss
Total Shareholders' Equity
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
29,006
$(4,420)$
226,831
\$ 387, 698
=========

|  | 149 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 200,559 |
|  | 25,878 |
|  | $(4,146)$ |
|  | 222,440 |
| \$ | 386,121 |

25, 878
$(4,146)$
\$ 386,121
===========

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CIRCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC.
consolidated statements of operations (In thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)

Net revenues
Cost of revenues
GROSS PROFIT .............................................................
Goodwill amortization expense
Special charges
OPERATING INCOME
Other (income) expense:
Interest income
Interest expense
Other, net
Total other expense
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES
Provision for income taxes
NET INCOME

Earnings per common share:
Basic
Diluted
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:
Basic .
Diluted
Basic ..
Diluted

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

| 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 79,462 | \$ | 87,946 |
|  | 54,920 |  | 61,875 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24,542 \\ & 16,489 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 26,071 |
|  |  |  | 17,127 |
|  | - |  | 637 |
| 453 |  |  | - |
| 7,600 |  |  | 8,307 |
| (233) |  |  | (87) |
| 1,974 |  |  | 2,056 |
| 102 |  |  | 133 |
| 1,843 |  |  | 2,102 |
| 5,757 |  |  | 6,205 |
| 2,072 |  |  | 2,482 |
| \$ 3,685 |  | \$ | 3,723 |
| 0.25 |  | \$ | 0.28 |
| \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.27 |
|  | 14,873 |  | 13,454 |
|  | 15,541 |  | 13,802 |

## CIRCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)


CIRCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

## Basis of Presentation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited, consolidated financial statements contain all necessary adjustments, consisting only of adjustments of a normal recurring nature, to present fairly CIRCOR International, Inc.'s consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, and our consolidated statements of operations and consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001. We prepare our interim financial information using the same accounting principles as we use for our annual audited financial statements.

The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2001 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date. Our accounting policies are described in the notes to our December 31, 2001 financial statements, which were included in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K. We recommend that the financial statements included in this Report be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Certain prior period financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform to currently reported presentations.
(2) New Accounting Standards

We adopted Statement No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," on January 1, 2002. See Note 7 to these financial statements for further information concerning our adoption of Statement No. 142.

We also adopted FASB Statement No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," on January 1, 2002. Statement No. 144 refines existing impairment accounting guidance and extends the use of accounting for discontinued operations to both reporting segments and distinguishable components thereof. Statement No. 144 also eliminates the existing exception to consolidation of a subsidiary for which control is likely to be temporary. The adoption of Statement No. 144 did not have any impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Inventories

## Raw materials

Work in process
Finished goods
(Unaudited)

| \$ | 43,527 | \$ | 42,829 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27,259 |  | 26,111 |
|  | 30,441 |  | 30,939 |
| \$ | 101,227 | \$ | 99,879 |

The following table presents certain operating segment information (Unaudited, in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31, 2002

| Net Revenues | \$46,417 | \$33, 045 | \$ | - | \$79,462 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating income (loss) | 7,607 | 1,833 |  | $(1,840)$ | 7,600 |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Revenues | \$47,965 | \$39,981 | \$ | - | \$87,946 |
| Operating income (loss) | 7,998 | 2,144 |  | $(1,835)$ | 8,307 |

In March 2002, we transferred SSI Equipment Inc. ("SSI") from the
Petrochemical Products segment to the Instrumentation and Thermal Fluid Controls Products segment. We believe that this change better reflects the products and markets that SSI serves. Prior periods have been restated and net revenues, operating income, and identifiable assets are not materially different with this reclassification. Corporate operating expenses include management and other staffing costs for compensation, corporate development, benefits administration, facilities and equipment costs, travel, corporate governance, risk management, treasury, investor relations, regulatory compliance, stock transfer agent costs, and certain other professional fees and administrative expenses. Identifiable assets by segment, did not change significantly from the amounts reported in Note 14, Segment Information, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Special Charges
Special charges of $\$ 0.5$ million were incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2002. The charges consisted of $\$ 0.3$ million of manufacturing asset write-offs and $\$ 0.2$ million of exit costs related to leased facilities to be closed. All costs recognized are for the closure, consolidation and reorganization of manufacturing and distribution facilities in the Petrochemical Products segment. There were no special charges incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2001. The portion of the accrued liabilities for lease termination costs to be paid subsequent to March 312002 is $\$ 0.2$ million.

| Petrochemical Products | Corporate |  | Consolidate Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$33, 045 | \$ | - | \$79,462 |
| 1,833 |  | $(1,840)$ | 7,600 |
| \$39,981 | \$ | - | \$87,946 |
| 2,144 |  | $(1,835)$ | 8,307 |

(6) Earnings Per Common Share (Unaudited, in thousands, except per share amounts):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |
|  | Net <br> Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Net Income | Shares |  | Per hare mount |
| Basic EPS | \$ 3,685 | 14,873 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 3,723 | 13,454 | \$ | 0.28 |
| Dilutive securities, principally common stock options | - | 668 | (0.01) | - | 348 |  | (0.01) |
| Diluted EPS | \$ 3,685 | 15,541 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 3,723 | 13,802 | \$ | 0.27 |

All options outstanding are included in the computation of earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2002 because the exercise prices of these options were less than the average market price of the common shares during the period.

Options to purchase 190, 207 shares of our common stock at prices ranging from $\$ 12.98$ to $\$ 13.94$ were outstanding and anti-dilutive during the three months ended March 31, 2001. These options were not included in the related computations of diluted EPS since the exercise prices of these options were greater than the average market price of the common stock during the period.

We adopted Statement No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," on January 1, 2002. Statement No. 142 requires that existing and future goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives not be amortized, but written down, as needed, based upon an impairment analysis that must occur at least annually. Intangible assets that have finite useful lives continue to be amortized over their useful lives.

We are currently in the process of completing the transitional impairment test required by Statement No. 142 to determine whether there was an impairment of recorded goodwill as of January 1, 2002. In accordance with Statement No. 142, step one of the two part transitional impairment test requires us to compare the fair value of each reporting unit with its respective carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, step two of the transitional impairment test will be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss. Step one of the transitional impairment test must be completed in the second quarter of 2002. Step two of the transitional impairment test must be completed by the end of 2002 and the resulting impairment loss, if any, will be recorded as a cumulative effect of accounting change in the consolidated statements of operations. The amount of any such impairment loss cannot be determined at this time. The amount of any such loss, however, could be material to our consolidated financial results. In addition to the annual impairment test, Statement No. 142 also requires us to perform an impairment test if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not result in an impairment loss. Such subsequent impairment losses, if any, will be reflected in operating income in the consolidated statement of operations in the period the event occurs.

Goodwill by segment, net of accumulated amortization, as of March 31, 2002 is as follows (Unaudited, in thousands):

|  | ```Instrumentation & Thermal Fluid Controls Products``` |  | Petrochemical Products |  | Consolidated Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodwill as of December 31, 2001 | \$ | 77,905 | \$ | 11,928 | \$ | 89,833 |
| Business acquisitions (see Note 10) |  | 1,268 |  | - |  | 1,268 |
| Currency translation adjustments |  | (99) |  | (2) |  | (101) |
| Goodwill as of March 31, 2002 | \$ | 79,074 | \$ | 11,926 | \$ | 91,000 |

The impact of discontinuing the amortization of goodwill on net income and on basic and diluted earnings per share for the quarter ended March 31, 2001 is $\$ 0.6$ million, or $\$ 0.04$ and $\$ 0.05$ per share on a basic and fully diluted basis, respectively, as presented below (Unaudited, in thousands, except per share amounts)

## Basic Earnings per Share:

Net income ........................
Goodwill amortization expense
Adjusted net income
Diluted earnings per share:
Net income
Goodwill amortization expense
Adjusted net income $\qquad$

```
Net income
```

Add back: Goodwill amortization expense
Adjusted net income

Net income ................................................................................................
Add back: Goodwill amortization expense
Adjusted net income $\qquad$

\$ 0.25
$========$
\$ 0.32

| $\$$ | 0.24 |
| :--- | ---: |
| ------- |  |
| $\$$ | 0.24 |


| $\$$ | 0.27 |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | 0.05 |
| ------- |  |
| \$ | 0.32 |
| $=======$ |  |

The table below presents gross intangible assets and the related accumulated amortization as of March 31, 2002 (Unaudited, in thousands):

|  | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intangible assets: |  |  |
| Patents | \$ 2,749 | \$ $(2,379)$ |
| Trademarks and trade names | 1,613 | (924) |
| Land use rights | 1,181 | (213) |
| Other | 318 | (310) |
| Total | \$ 5, 861 | \$ $(3,826)$ |
| Net carrying value of intangible assets | \$ 2,035 |  |

The table below presents expected amortization expense for intangible assets recorded as of January 1, 2002 (Unaudited, in thousands):

|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | After 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated amortization expense | \$303 | \$288 | \$148 | \$135 | \$108 | \$1,112 |

## (8) Financial Instruments

As of January 1, 2001, we adopted FASB Statement No. 133. "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" as amended by Statement No. 137 and Statement No. 138. Statement No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and hedging activities. It requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value as assets or liabilities. The adoption of Statement No. 133 did not have a material effect on assets, liabilities, accumulated comprehensive income or net income.

In the normal course of business, we manage risk associated with foreign exchange rates through a variety of strategies, including the use of hedging transactions, executed in accordance with our policies. As a matter of policy, we ordinarily do not use derivative instruments unless there is an underlying exposure. Any change in the value of our derivative instruments would be substantially offset by an opposite change in the underlying hedged items. We do not use derivative instruments for speculative trading purposes.

## Accounting Policies

Using qualifying criteria defined in Statement No. 133, derivative instruments are designed and accounted for as either a hedge of a recognized asset or liability (fair value hedge) or a hedge of a forecasted transaction (cash flow hedge). For a fair value hedge, both the effective and ineffective portions of the change in fair value of the derivative instrument, along with an adjustment to the carrying amount of the hedged item for fair value changes attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in earnings. For a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument that are highly effective are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss until the underlying hedged item is recognized in earnings. If the effective portion of fair value or cash flow hedges were to cease to qualify for hedge accounting, or to be terminated, it would continue to be carried on the balance sheet at fair value until settled; however, hedge accounting would be discontinued prospectively. If forecast transactions were no longer probable of occurring, amounts previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss would be recognized immediately in earnings.

## Foreign Currency Risk

We use forward contracts to manage the currency risk related to business transactions denominated in foreign currencies. To the extent the underlying transactions hedged are completed, the contracts do not subject us to significant risk from exchange rate movements because they offset gains and losses on the related foreign currency denominated transactions. Our foreign currency forward contracts have not been designated as hedging instruments and, therefore, did not qualify for fair value or cash flow hedge treatment under the criteria of Statement No. 133 for the three months ended March 31, 2002. Therefore, the unrealized gains and losses on our contracts have been recognized as a
component of other expense in the consolidated statements of operations. We recorded a net loss of less than $\$ 0.1$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2002. As of March 31, 2002, we had forward contracts to buy and sell foreign currencies with a fair value of $\$ 5.6$ million. These contracts mature on various dates between April 2002 and October 2002.
(9) Comprehensive Income

Our other comprehensive income consists solely of cumulative translation adjustments. We do not provide U.S. income taxes on foreign currency translation adjustments since we do not provide for such taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. Comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 was as follows (Unaudited, in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 3,685 | \$ | 3,723 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments |  | (274) |  | $(1,838)$ |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 3,411 | \$ | 1,885 |

## (10) Business Acquisitions

On June 25, 2001, we acquired a 75\% interest in Regeltechnik Kornwestheim GmbH and affiliates ("RTK"), a German closed corporation. RTK manufactures and sells control valves, regulators, actuators and related instrumentation products primarily for steam and fluid process applications in the HVAC, industrial, food, beverage and pharmaceutical markets. On February 5, 2002, the minority interest shareholder of RTK exercised the put option granted to him in the purchase agreement, thereby electing to sell us the remaining $25 \%$ interest in RTK. Accordingly, during March 2002 we paid cash of $\$ 2.4$ million for the purchase of this $25 \%$ interest in RTK, resulting in $\$ 1.3$ million of additional goodwill.

The acquisition was accounted for as a business purchase combination. The aggregate purchase price for this acquisition was $\$ 13.7$ million, consisting of cash and the assumption of $\$ 4.2$ million of long-term debt. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net identifiable assets of $\$ 5.2$ million acquired has been recorded as goodwill. The results of operations for this business have previously been included in our consolidated financial statements from the date our initial $75 \%$ ownership share was acquired in June 2001. The goodwill that resulted from this acquisition no longer is amortized in determining net income in accordance with our January 1, 2002 adoption of FASB Statement No. 142

## (11) Contingencies and Environmental Remediation

We, like other worldwide manufacturing companies, are subject to a variety of potential liabilities connected with our business operations, including potential liabilities and expenses associated with possible product defects or failures and compliance with environmental laws. We maintain $\$ 5.0$ million in aggregate product liability insurance and $\$ 75.0$ million under an excess umbrella liability insurance policy. We also maintain a products liability policy with aggregate limits of $\$ 200$ million for the aviation products produced by our worldwide operations.

We believe this coverage to be consistent with industry practices. Nonetheless, such insurance coverage may not be adequate to protect us fully against substantial damage claims, which may arise from product defects and failures or from environmental liability.

## Contingencies

Leslie Controls, Inc. ("Leslie"), Spence Engineering Company, Inc. ("Spence"), and Hoke, Inc. ("Hoke"); all subsidiaries of CIRCOR, collectively have been named as defendants or third-party defendants in asbestos related claims brought on behalf of approximately 2,000 plaintiffs. In some instances, CIRCOR also has been named as successor in interest to one or more of these subsidiaries. Approximately 300 of these cases consist of civil product liability actions filed against ship owner defendants in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio (Cleveland) between the 1980s and 1996. The ship owner defendants, in turn, typically have filed third-party claims against 20 to 30 third-party
defendants including Leslie and Spence. The claims against Leslie and Spence assert that the packing in metal pumps and gaskets in metal valves supplied by Leslie and Spence contained asbestos that contributed to the asbestos exposure of plaintiffs who worked on the defendants' ships. To date, two cases involving Leslie only have settled in a way that required a payment from Leslie. One case settled in 1995 with a $\$ 2,000$ payment from Leslie; another settled in 1989 with a $\$ 500$ payment from Leslie. These cases are part of tens of thousands of maritime asbestos cases filed in this court against multiple defendants. These thousands of cases are subject to court ordered moratoria on answers and motion practice, and the very small percentage of these cases that have come to trial since 1996 have not involved Leslie or Spence.

The remaining asbestos exposure cases involve individuals who claim to have been exposed to asbestos during the course of their employment in various shipyards and manufacturing facilities. These cases, which have been brought in the courts of New York, California, New Jersey and Mississippi, typically involve claims by one or a small number of individual plaintiffs against anywhere from 50 to well over 200 direct defendants who are alleged to have manufactured and supplied products containing asbestos. Two of the recently filed Mississippi cases however, assert claims on behalf of over 600 and over 500 plaintiffs, respectively, against approximately 200 defendants. We believe that any asbestos formerly used in Leslie, Spence and Hoke products was entirely internal to the product and would not give rise to ambient asbestos dust during normal operation.

On July 12, 2000, we were notified that the United States Customs Service ("Customs") is conducting an investigation to determine whether our subsidiary KF Industries, Inc. ("KF") is in compliance with country of origin marking requirements on those valves that KF imports from sources in the People's Republic of China including our joint venture there. While we believe that the Customs investigation will not result in any material liability to us, there can be no assurances as to the outcome of this matter. If the Customs investigation were to reveal that violations of the Customs laws had occurred, KF could be subjected to civil fines, forfeitures and (if such violations were determined to be intentional) criminal penalties, which could be material. We believe that KF's marking practices have been in substantial compliance with Customs' regulations and we are cooperating with Customs in its investigation.

## Environmental Remediation

We are currently a party to or otherwise involved in various administrative or legal proceedings under federal, state or local environmental laws or regulations involving a number of sites, in some cases as a participant in a group of potentially responsible parties, referred to as PRPs. Two of these sites, the Sharkey and Combe Landfills in New Jersey, are listed on the National Priorities List. With respect to the Sharkey Landfill, we have been allocated $0.75 \%$ of the remediation costs, an amount that is not material to us. With respect to the Combe Landfill, we have settled both the Federal Government's claim, and the State of New Jersey's claim, for an amount that is immaterial to us. Moreover, our insurers have covered defense and settlement costs to date with respect to the Sharkey and Combe Landfills. In addition we are involved as a PRP with respect to the Solvent Recovery Service of New England site and the Old Southington landfill site, both in Connecticut. These sites are on the National Priorities List but, with respect to both sites, we have the right to indemnification from the prior owners of the affected subsidiaries. We also have been identified as a PRP with respect to the Lightman Drum Company site in New Jersey. But, in this instance we also have the right to indemnification from the former owners of the affected subsidiary. Based on currently available information, we believe that our share of clean-up costs at these sites will not be material

We have reviewed all of our pending judicial and legal proceedings, including the probable and estimable outcomes, reasonably anticipated costs and expenses, availability and limits of our insurance coverage and our established reserves for uninsured liabilities. We do not believe that any liabilities that may result from these proceedings are reasonably likely to have a material adverse affect on our liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

In the ordinary course of business, we issued standby letters of credit totaling $\$ 4.9$ million at March 31, 2002. We do not anticipate incurring losses from these letters of credit.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This report contains certain statements that are "forward-looking statements" as that term is defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act") and releases issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The words "may," "hope," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "intend," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "continue," and other expressions which are predictions of or indicate future events and trends and which do not relate to historical matters identify forward-looking statements. We believe that it is important to communicate our future expectations to our stockholders, and we, therefore, make forward-looking statements in reliance upon the safe harbor provisions of the Act. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to accurately predict or control, and our actual results may differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, the cyclicality and highly competitive nature of some of our end markets which can affect the overall demand for and pricing of our products, changes in the price of and demand for oil and gas in both domestic and international markets, variability of raw material and component pricing, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, our ability to continue operating our manufacturing facilities at efficient levels and to successfully implement our acquisition strategy, the ultimate outcome of various judicial and legal proceedings, the potential impairment of recorded goodwill as a result of recently adopted accounting pronouncements, and the uncertain continuing impact on economic and financial conditions in the United States and around the world as a result of the September 11th terrorist attacks, and related matters. We advise you to read further about certain of these and other risk factors set forth under the caption "Certain Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ended December 31, 2001. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2002 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2001.

The following tables set forth the results of operations, percentage of net revenue and the period-to-period percentage change in certain financial data for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001:


Net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2002 decreased by $\$ 8.5$ million, or $9.6 \%$, to $\$ 79.5$ million compared to $\$ 87.9$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2001. The decrease in net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2002 was attributable to the following:

| Segment | 2002 | 2001 | Total Change | Acquisitions | Operations | Foreign Exchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| Instrumentation \& Thermal Fluid Controls | \$46, 417 | \$47, 965 | \$ $(1,548)$ | \$ 3,809 | \$ $(5,203)$ | \$ (154) |
| Petrochemical | 33,045 | 39,981 | $(6,936)$ | - | $(6,516)$ | (420) |
| Total | \$79,462 | \$87,946 | \$ $(8,484)$ | \$ 3,809 | \$ $(11,719)$ | \$ (574) |

The Instrumentation and Thermal Fluid Controls Products segment accounted for $58.4 \%$ of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to $54.5 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2001. The Petrochemical Products segment accounted for $41.6 \%$ of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to $45.5 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2001.

Instrumentation and Thermal Fluid Controls Products revenues decreased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $3.2 \%$. The net decrease was due to: a $\$ 4.0$ million reduction in instrumentation application revenues, primarily due to weak market conditions and reduced sales volume in the commercial aerospace and general industrial markets; a $\$ 1.2$ million decrease in thermal fluid controls markets resulting from lower customer demand for steam related HVAC products due to mild winter temperatures; a $\$ 0.1$ million reduction in revenues resulting from changes in exchange rates affecting our European business units; partially offset by \$3.8 million of incremental revenue from RTK and SART that were acquired during June 2001. The net $\$ 6.9$ million decrease in Petrochemical Products revenues, or $17.3 \%$, was the result of $\$ 5.3$ million in lower North American revenues, related to reduced chemical processing, general industrial, and oil and gas drilling activity in North America; a $\$ 1.2$ million reduction in revenues from Chinese customers; and a \$0.4 million decrease in revenues resulting from changes in exchange rates which affected our Canadian and Italian operations.

Gross profit decreased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $5.9 \%$, to $\$ 24.5$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to $\$ 26.1$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2001. Gross margin increased to $30.9 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to $29.6 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2001 Gross profit from the Instrumentation and Thermal Fluid Controls Products segment decreased $\$ 0.8$ million. The net decrease consisted of: a $\$ 2.1$ million gross profit reduction due to lower sales volume, net of operational manufacturing cost reductions; and a $\$ 1.3$ million offsetting increase in gross profit from the RTK and SART businesses acquired during June, 2001. Gross profit for the Petrochemical Products segment decreased $\$ 0.7$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2001. Gross profit decreases of $\$ 1.9$ million as a result of lower sales volume, \$0.1 million due to unfavorable foreign exchange rate changes, were partially offset by a $\$ 1.3$ million increase resulting from improved manufacturing efficiencies and higher pricing on sales of large valves used in international oil and gas projects.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.6 million, or $3.7 \%$, to $\$ 16.5$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared with $\$ 17.1$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2001. Operating expenses for the Instrumentation and Thermal Fluid Controls Products segment increased by $\$ 0.1$ million. This net increase was the result of: $\$ 0.8$ million of additional expenses related to the acquired RTK and SART operations; partially offset by a $\$ 0.7$ million reduction in operating expenses, primarily from lower variable selling expenses. Operating expenses for the Petrochemical Products segment decreased $\$ 0.7$ million. This decrease was largely due to $\$ 0.6$ million of lower variable selling expenses and a $\$ 0.1$ million decrease due to foreign exchange rate changes. Corporate spending remained unchanged for these periods.

Goodwill amortization expense was not recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared with $\$ 0.6$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2001. The decrease in goodwill amortization expense is the result of our adoption of Financial Accounting Standard Board Statement No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which eliminates the amortization of acquisition goodwill, effective January 1, 2002.

During the three months ended March 31, 2002, special charges of \$0.5 million were incurred in the

Petrochemical Products segment. These expenses included the write-off of certain assets and the accrual of costs associated with the closure, consolidation and reorganization of certain North American manufacturing operations. There were no special charges incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2001. We fully expect that the initiatives taken during first quarter of 2002 to close, consolidate and reorganize certain facilities in the Petrochemical Products segment, will result in second quarter severance costs of approximately $\$ 0.2$ million.

The change in operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2001 was as follows:


The $\$ 0.4$ million decrease in operating income in the Instrumentation and Thermal Fluid Controls Products segment was primarily attributable to lower sales volume, partially offset by incremental income from RTK and SART, lower variable selling expenses and the elimination of current year goodwill amortization expenses. The $\$ 0.3$ million decrease in operating income in the Petrochemical Products segment was also primarily due to lower sales and current year special charges, partially offset by higher gross profits and margins related to improved manufacturing efficiencies and higher pricing on large international project sales, lower variable selling expenses and the elimination of current year goodwill amortization expenses.

Net interest expense decreased $\$ 0.2$ million to $\$ 1.7$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to $\$ 1.9$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2001. The decrease was primarily due to higher interest income on invested balances and lower interest expense due to lower current year average debt balances and lower interest rates on variable debt instruments. Significant net positive cash flow generated during the prior year, from both operations and our from our follow-on issuance of common stock, enabled us to reduce our unsecured revolving credit facility balance to zero during March 31, 2001 and has provided interest income from mutual funds that are primarily invested in U.S Government obligations.

Other expense was relatively unchanged at $\$ 0.1$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001.

The effective tax rate decreased to $36.0 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2002 compared to $40.0 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2001. The decrease in the tax rate is primarily the result of the elimination of goodwill amortization expense in accordance with FASB Statement No. 142, which was not deductible for income tax purposes. Additionally, the implementation of various tax strategies at the beginning of 2002 provided a modest rate reduction benefit.

Net income was relatively unchanged for the three months ended March 31, 2002 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2001. Although net income for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 was comparable, gross profits decreased due to lower current year sales and we incurred special charges during the current year. These decreases in net income were offset by the incremental net income generated by RTK and SART, reductions in variable selling expenses, reduced goodwill amortization expense, reduced net interest costs and lower foreign currency losses.

The following table summarizes our cash flow activities for the three months ended March 31, 2002 (Unaudited and in thousands):

| Cash flow from: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities | \$ 8,511 |
| Investing activities | $(3,264)$ |
| Financing activities | $(2,063)$ |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash balances | (30) |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3,154 |

During the three months ended March 31, 2002, we generated $\$ 8.5$ million in cash flow from operating activities. Net income and non-cash charges such as depreciation, amortization and the write-off of manufacturing equipment account for $\$ 6.8$ million of the cash provided by operating activities. Reductions in working capital and other assets provided $\$ 1.7$ million of cash. The $\$ 3.3$ million used for investing activities included: $\$ 2.4$ million for the purchase of the remaining $25 \%$ minority interest in our RTK subsidiary and \$0.9 million for the purchase of capital equipment. We used $\$ 2.1$ million in financing activities that included: payments of $\$ 2.5$ million to reduce the balance of our short-term international credit facilities; $\$ 0.6$ million used to pay dividends to shareholders; offset by $\$ 1.0$ million received from the exercise of stock options. The effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents decreased cash balances by less than $\$ 0.1$ million.

Our capital expenditure budget for the year ended December 31, 2002 is $\$ 7.1$ million. Capital expenditures are primarily for manufacturing machinery and equipment for new products and as part of our ongoing commitment to further improve our manufacturing operations.

As of March 31, 2002 and 2001, we had no amounts outstanding under our corporate unsecured revolving credit facility. Under the credit facility agreement we are required to pay an unused facility fee of $0.35 \%$ per annum, and are able to borrow at interest rates that may vary, either the Euro dollar rate plus $1.5 \%$ or at the prime rate specified by the agent. As of March 31, 2002, we had $\$ 75.0$ million available under the revolving credit agreement to support our acquisition program, working capital requirements and for general corporate purposes.

The ratio of current assets to current liabilities as of March 31, 2002 was $3.5: 1$ compared to $3.4: 1$ as of December 31, 2001. Cash and cash equivalents were $\$ 60.2$ million as of March 31, 2002 compared to $\$ 57.0$ million as of December 31, 2001. Net debt (total debt less cash) as a percentage of total net capital employed (net debt plus equity) was $13.3 \%$ as of March 31, 2002 compared to $15.5 \%$ as of December 31, 2001.

Beginning on October 19, 2002, we will commence making $\$ 15.0$ million annual payments reducing the $\$ 75.0$ million outstanding balance of our unsecured 8.23\% senior notes, which mature in October 2006.

Certain of our loan agreements contain covenants that require, among other items, maintenance of certain financial ratios and also limit our ability to: enter into secured and unsecured borrowing arrangements; issue dividends to shareholders; acquire and dispose of businesses; invest in capital equipment; participate in certain higher yielding long-term investment vehicles; and issue additional shares of our stock. We were in compliance with all covenants related to our existing debt obligations at March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001.

On April 9, 2002 we filed a registration statement on Form S-3 for the sale of up to an aggregate of 1.0 million shares currently outstanding and beneficially owned by Timothy P. Horne and other members of the Horne family. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares of common stock offered by this prospectus. We are bearing the expense for the registration of the shares under the federal and state securities laws.

On February 5, 2002, the minority interest shareholder of RTK exercised the put option right granted to him in the purchase agreement, thereby electing to sell us the remaining $25 \%$ interest in RTK. Accordingly, we paid cash of $\$ 2.4$ million for the purchase of this $25 \%$ interest in RTK during March 2002.

From time-to-time, we are involved with product liability, environmental and other litigation proceedings and
incur costs on an ongoing basis related to these matters. We have not incurred material expenditures during the three months ended March 31, 2002 in connection with any of these matters.

We anticipate that available funds, and those funds provided from ongoing operations, will be sufficient to meet current operating requirements, anticipated capital expenditures, scheduled debt payments and contingencies for at least the next 24 months.

## Effect of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We adopted Statement No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" on January 1, 2002. Statement No. 142 requires that existing and future goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives not be amortized, but written down, as needed, based upon an impairment analysis that must occur at least annually. All other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

We are currently in the process of completing the transitional impairment test required by Statement No. 142 to determine whether there was a potential impairment to recorded goodwill as of January 1, 2002. In accordance with Statement No. 142, step one of the two part transitional impairment test requires us to compare the fair value of each reporting unit with its respective carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, step two of the transitional impairment test will be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. Step one of the transitional impairment test must be completed in the second quarter of 2002 Step two of the transitional impairment test must be completed by the end of 2002 and the resulting impairment loss, if any, will be recorded as a cumulative effect of accounting change in the consolidated statements of operations. The amount of any such impairment loss cannot be determined at this time, however the amount of any such loss could be material to our consolidated financial results. In addition to the annual impairment test, Statement No. 142 also requires us to perform an impairment test if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not result in an impairment loss. Such subsequent impairment losses, if any, will be reflected in operating income in the consolidated statement of operations in the period the event occurs.

See Note 7 to the financial statements for information concerning the adoption of Statement No. 142.

We also adopted FASB Statement No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" on January 1, 2002. Statement No. 144 refines existing impairment accounting guidance and extends the use of accounting for discontinued operations to both reporting segments and distinguishable components thereof. The adoption of Statement No. 144 did not have any impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

ITEM 3. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## Market Risk

The oil and gas market has historically been subject to cyclicality depending upon supply and demand of crude oil and its derivatives as well as natural gas. When oil or gas prices decrease, expenditures on maintenance and repair decline rapidly and outlays for exploration and in-field drilling projects decrease and, accordingly, demand for valve products is reduced However, when oil and gas prices rise, generally, maintenance and repair activity and spending for facilities projects normally increase, and we benefit from increased demand for valve products. However, oil and gas price increases may be considered temporary in nature, or not driven by customer demand and, therefore, may result in longer lead times for obtaining petrochemical sales orders. As a result, the timing and magnitude of changes in market demand for oil and valve products are difficult to predict. Similarly, although not to the same extent as the oil and gas markets, the aerospace, military and maritime markets have historically experienced cyclical fluctuations in demand, which also could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

## Interest Rate Risk

At March 31, 2002, our primary interest rate risk relates to borrowings under our revolving credit facility. The interest rate on those borrowings fluctuates with changes in short-term borrowing rates. There were no outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility as of March 31, 2002. Based upon the expected levels of borrowings
under our credit facility in 2002, an increase in interest rates of 100 basis points would not have a material effect on our results of operations or cash flows.

## Currency Exchange Risk

We use forward contracts to manage the currency risk related to business transactions denominated in foreign currencies. To the extent the underlying transactions hedged are completed, the contracts do not subject us to significant risk from exchange rate movements because they offset gains and losses on the related foreign currency denominated transactions. Our foreign currency forward contracts have not been designated as hedging instruments and, therefore, did not qualify for fair value or cash flow hedge treatment under the criteria of Statement No. 133 for the three months ended March 31, 2002. Therefore, the unrealized gains and losses on our contracts have been recognized as a component of other expense in the consolidated statement of operations. As of March 31, 2002, we had forward contracts to buy and sell foreign currencies with a fair value of $\$ 5.6$ million. These contracts mature on various dates between April 2002 and October 2002.

The counterparties to these contracts are major financial institutions. Our risk of loss in the event of non-performance by the counterparties is not significant. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. Risk management strategies are reviewed and approved by senior management before implementation.

## Commodity Price Risk

The primary raw materials used in our production processes are stainless steel, carbon steel, cast iron and brass. We purchase these materials from numerous suppliers nationally and internationally, and have not historically experienced significant difficulties in obtaining these commodities in quantities sufficient for our operations. However, these commodities are subject to price fluctuations that may adversely affect our results of operations. We manage this risk by offsetting increases in commodity prices with increased sales prices, active materials management, product engineering programs and the diversity of materials used in our production process.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
We, like other worldwide manufacturing companies, are subject to a variety of potential liabilities connected with our business operations, including potential liabilities and expenses associated with possible product defects or failures and compliance with environmental laws. We maintain $\$ 5.0$ million in aggregate product liability insurance and $\$ 75.0$ million under an excess umbrella liability insurance policy. We also maintain a products liability policy with aggregate limits of $\$ 200$ million for the aviation products produced by our worldwide operations.

We believe this coverage to be consistent with industry practices. Nonetheless, such insurance coverage may not be adequate to protect us fully against substantial damage claims, which may arise from product defects and failures or from environmental liability.

Leslie Controls, Inc. ("Leslie"), Spence Engineering Company, Inc. ("Spence"), and Hoke, Inc. ("Hoke"); all subsidiaries of CIRCOR, collectively have been named as defendants or third-party defendants in asbestos related claims brought on behalf of approximately 2,000 plaintiffs. In some instances, CIRCOR also has been named as successor in interest to one or more of these subsidiaries. Approximately 300 of these cases consist of civil product liability actions filed against ship owner defendants in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio (Cleveland) between the 1980s and 1996. The ship owner defendants, in turn, typically have filed third-party claims against 20 to 30 third-party defendants including Leslie and Spence. The claims against Leslie and Spence assert that the packing in metal pumps and gaskets in metal valves supplied by Leslie and Spence contained asbestos that contributed to the asbestos exposure of plaintiffs who worked on the defendants' ships. To date, two cases involving Leslie only have settled in a way that required a payment from Leslie. One case settled in 1995 with a $\$ 2,000$ payment from Leslie; another settled in 1989 with a $\$ 500$ payment from Leslie. These cases are part of tens of thousands of maritime asbestos cases filed in this court against multiple defendants. These thousands of cases are subject to court ordered moratoria on answers and motion practice, and the very small percentage of these cases that have come to trial since 1996 have not involved Leslie or Spence.

The remaining asbestos exposure cases involve individuals who claim to have been exposed to asbestos during the course of their employment in various shipyards and manufacturing facilities. These cases, which have been brought in the courts of New York, California, New Jersey and Mississippi, typically involve claims by one or a small number of individual plaintiffs against anywhere from 50 to well over 200 direct defendants who are alleged to have manufactured and supplied products containing asbestos. Two of the recently filed Mississippi cases, however, assert claims on behalf of over 600 and over 500 plaintiffs, respectively, against approximately 200 defendants. We believe that any asbestos formerly used in Leslie, Spence and Hoke products was entirely internal to the product and would not give rise to ambient asbestos dust during normal operation.

On July 12, 2000, we were notified that Customs is conducting an investigation to determine whether our subsidiary KF is in compliance with country of origin marking requirements on those valves that KF imports from sources in the People's Republic of China including our joint venture there. While we believe that the Customs investigation will not result in any material liability to us, there can be no assurances as to the outcome of this matter. If the Customs investigation were to reveal that violations of the customs laws had occurred, KF could be subjected to civil fines, forfeitures and (if such violations were determined to be intentional) criminal penalties, which could be material. We believe that KF's marking practices have been in substantial compliance with Customs' regulations and we are cooperating with Customs in its investigation.

We are currently a party to or otherwise involved in various administrative or legal proceedings under federal, state or local environmental laws or regulations involving a number of sites, in some cases as a participant in a group of potentially responsible parties, referred to as PRPs. Two of these sites, the Sharkey and Combe Landfills in New Jersey, are listed on the National Priorities List. With respect to the Sharkey Landfill, we have been allocated $0.75 \%$ of the remediation costs, an amount that is not material to us. With respect to the Combe Landfill, we have settled both the Federal Government's claim, and the State of New Jersey's claim, for an amount that is immaterial to us. Moreover, our insurers have covered defense and settlement costs to date with respect to the Sharkey and Combe Landfills. In addition, we are involved as a PRP with respect to the Solvent Recovery Service of New England site and the Old Southington landfill site, both in Connecticut. These sites are on the National Priorities List but, with respect to both sites, we have the right to indemnification from the prior owners of the affected subsidiaries. We also have been identified as a PRP with respect to the Lightman Drum Company site in New Jersey. But, in this instance we also have the right to indemnification from the former owners of the affected subsidiary. Based on currently available information, we believe that our share of clean-up costs at these sites will not be material.

We have reviewed all of our pending judicial and legal proceedings, including the probable and estimable outcomes, reasonably anticipated costs and expenses, availability and limits of our insurance coverage and our established reserves for uninsured liabilities. We do not believe that any liabilities that may result from these proceedings are reasonably likely to have a material adverse affect on our liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS
Use of Proceeds From Registered Securities
The effective date of the Securities and Exchange Act registration statement for which the use of proceeds information is being disclosed was March 15, 2001, and the commission file number assigned to the registration statement is 333-54428. We used $\$ 2.0$ million of the net proceeds to reduce the balance owed on our unsecured revolving credit facility to zero. During June 2001, we acquired $100 \%$ of SART and $75 \%$ of RTK. During March 2002, we acquired the remaining $25 \%$ minority interest in RTK. We utilized $\$ 12.6$ million of the proceeds to purchase these businesses and retire a portion of assumed debt. No payments out of the net proceeds were made to (i) any of our directors, officers, general partners or their associates, (ii) any person(s) owning 10\% or more of any class of our equity securities or (iii) any of our affiliates, except to Goodwin Procter LLP, the Boston, Massachusetts law firm that represented us in connection with the registration statement. David F. Dietz, a director and officer of our company, is the sole owner of David F. Dietz, P.C., a partner of Goodwin Proctor LLP. The remaining proceeds have been invested overnight in money market funds with holdings of U.S. Government obligations and are included in cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2002. The uses of proceeds described do not represent a material change in the use of proceeds as described in our registration statements.

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the three months ended March 31, 2002.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS OF FORM 8-K
(a)(1) Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements filed as part of this report are included in Part I, Item 1 of this report and listed in the Table of Contents.

| (a) (3) | Exhibits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exhibit |  |
| No. | Description and Location |
| 2 | Plan of Acquisition, Reorganization, Arrangement, Liquidation or Succession: |
| 2.1 | Distribution Agreement between Watts Industries, Inc. and CIRCOR |
|  | International, Inc. dated as of October 1, 1999, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Amendment No. 2 to CIRCOR |
|  | International, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 10, File No. 000-26961, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on |
|  | October 6, 1999 ("Amendment No. 2 to the Form 10"). |
| 3 | Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws: |
| 3.1 | The Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of CIRCOR |
|  | International, Inc. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit |
|  | 3.1 to CIRCOR International, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form |
|  | 10, File No. 000-26961, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 1999 ("Form 10"). |
| 3.2 | The Amended and Restated By-Laws of CIRCOR International, Inc. are incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Form 10. |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of a Series of |
|  | Preferred Stock of CIRCOR International, Inc. classifying and designating the Series A Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred |
|  | Stock is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to CIRCOR |
|  | International, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 8-A, File No. |
|  | October 21, 1999 ("Form 8-A"). |
| 4 | Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Debentures: |
| 4.1 | Shareholder Rights Agreement, dated as of September 16, 1999, between |
|  | CIRCOR International, Inc. and BankBoston, N.A., as Rights Agent is |
|  | incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-A. |
| 9 | Voting Trust Agreements: |
| 9.1 | The Amended and Restated George B. Horne Voting Trust Agreement-1997 |
|  | dated as of September 14, 1999 is incorporated herein by reference to |
|  | Exhibit 9.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Registration |
|  | Statement on Form 10, File No. 000-26961, filed with the Securities |
|  | and Exchange Commission on September 22, 1999 ("Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10"). |

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

The registrant filed no Current Reports on Form 8-K during the three-month period ended March 31, 2002.
(c) Exhibit Index

See Item 6(a)(3) above.
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